

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,789	11/12/2003	Gregory Bret Turetzky	ST00014C2(107-US-C2) 7800		
75	90 07/07/2004	EXAMINER			
Jeffrey C. Wilk			ISSING, GREGORY C		
Suite B-104 26895 Aliso Cre	sek Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656-5301			3662		

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/712,789		TURETZKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Gregory C. Issing	ı	3662				
The MAILING DATE of thi Period for Reply	s communication ap	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY IN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS (In Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. If the period for reply specified above is lesten to period for reply is specified above, the set of extended in period for reply within the set or extended in Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cl	communication the provisions of 37 CFR 1. te of this communication. It is that thirty (30) days, a rejection of the maximum statutory periodular of the maximum statutory between the main three months after the main three main	136(a). In no event, howe	ever, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days to SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ARANDONED	ly filed will be considered timely. e mailing date of this communic: (35 U.S.C. & 133)	ation.			
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL .		 s action is non-fina	al.					
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		,	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application	1						
4a) Of the above claim(s)			ation					
5) Claim(s)is/are allo		Irom oonoldore	311011.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are reject								
7) Claim(s) is/are objective								
8) Claim(s) are subject		or election requirer	ment.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected	•							
10) The drawing(s) filed on								
Applicant may not request th				` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(
11) The oath or declaration is	objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the	attached Office A	ection or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-((d) or (f).				
a)	None of:							
1. Certified copies of the	he priority documen	ts have been rece	ived.					
Certified copies of the copies of the copies of the copies.	ne priority documen	ts have been recei	ived in Application	ו No				
3. Copies of the certific	ed copies of the price	ority documents ha	ve been received	in this National Stage				
application from the								
* See the attached detailed C	Office action for a lis	t of the certified co	pies not received					
Attachment(s)		-						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 			Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draitsperson's Fatelit Drawling Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Faper No(s)/Mail Date) 5) 🔲 1		ent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office A	ction Summary	Part	of Paper No./Mail Date 2004	10628			

Application/Control Number: 10/712,789

Art Unit: 3662

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the related applications are required to be provided and complete on page1 of the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-20 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,680,695. This is a double patenting rejection. There is only a slight difference in language and not in scope between the instant application and the patented case. In the instant application, the language "the second data path" is defined for "verifying the incoming signal" while the patent language "the verification" is defined by "a second data path for verifying." Thus, as there is no change in scope, the instant claims claim the same invention as that which is already patented.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 3662

- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,466,161. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because someone skilled in the art given the claims of the patent could make and/or use the claimed subject matter of the instant application since "differentiating the incoming GPS signal from an autocorrelated signal" is completely encompassed by "differentiating the incoming GPS signal from an auto-correlated signal and a cross-correlated signal." Claims 16-19 disclose the transceiver in combination with the GPS receiver.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. King et al disclose a real-time correlator and an off-line correlator for a GPS receiver. Davis discloses a combined GPS receiver and cellular transceiver that utilize shared components in order to acquire satellites and determine position.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory C. Issing whose telephone number is 703-306-4156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday 6:00 AM- 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on 703-306-4171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory C. Issing Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3662